CF1002 1

SINGLE BENCH (4)

In the Honble High Court of Chhattishgarh at Bilaspur (C.G.)

W.P. (S) No. 690 2007

Petitioner ..... Sampat Lal Salame
Aged 36 years
S/o Shri Sukha Ram Salame,
Assistant Teacher,
Govt. Primary School, Harekhapaili,
District, Rajnandgaon (C.G.)

W.A. No. 80 4/07
Presented by Shri S.K. Kapoor
29/10/07

V E R S U S

Respondent ... ... 1. The State Government of Chhattishgarh,
Through the Secretary,
Education Department
D.K.S. Bhavan, Raipur (C.G.)

2. The District Education Officer,
Rajnandgaon (C.G)

RECEIVED-COPY
29/10/07
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

(22)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION (S.) No. 690 OF 2007

Petitioner : Sampat Lal Salame

versus

Respondents : The State Government of Chhattisgarh and another

WRIT PETITION (S.) No. 691 OF 2007

Petitioner : Sita Ram Turkiya

versus

Respondents : The State Government of Chhattisgarh and another

WRIT PETITION (S.) No. 692 OF 2007

Petitioner : Ksirmo Dhurwe

versus

Respondents : The State Government of Chhattisgarh and another

And

WRIT PETITION (S.) No. 693 OF 2007

Petitioner : Satya Narayan Sahu

versus

Respondents : The State Government of Chhattisgarh and another

---

Present : Mr. Ajay Shrivastava, counsel for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Sunita Jain, Panel lawyer for the respondents/State.

O R D E R

(Passed on this 7th Day of February, 2007)

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as for the State/respondents jointly submit that the facts and question of law involved in the present batch of writ petitions are squarely covered by

(28)

the decision of this Court in the case of ***Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj*** vs. ***Municipal Corporation, Durg and another***[1] .

2. In subsequent cases, i.e., Writ Petition (S.) No.4130 of 2005 (***Gopesh Kumar Verma*** vs. ***The State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and another***) and other connected matters, decided by this Court on 15-12-2006, pursuant to the memo dated 28-1-1995, issued by the Secretary, Board of Secondary Education, Bhopal and the prospectus of Diploma in Education Examination, it was clarified that the nomenclature of the Basic Training Institute, i.e., B.T.I. has been changed and renamed as Diploma in Education, i.e., D.Ed. Accordingly, the D.Ed. Certificate holders are also covered by the decision in Writ Petition (S.) No.4130 of 2005 (*Gopesh Kumar Verma* vs. *The State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and another*) (Supra).

3. For the aforementioned reasons, the writ petitions are disposed of in terms of the decision of this Court in the matter of *Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj* (Supra), subject to verification of the fact as to whether the petitioners have acquired B.T.I./D.Ed. Certificates at their own expenses as per the circulars dated 24-12-1998 and 1-3-1999 and further whether they have been paid two advance increments or not. No order as to costs.

4. A copy of this order be placed on the record of the connected writ petitions.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

---

1 2006 (II) MPJR-CG 96

Subhash